(1 of 9)



NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSUE LUIS TSE SIO,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 11-73889

Agency No. A095-724-184

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted May 7, 2015 Pasadena, California

Before: BEA and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges and RICE,** District Judge.

Josue Luis Tse Sio, a native and citizen of Honduras, petitions for review of

a final order of removal from the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA"), which

sustained the Government's appeal of the Immigration Judge's ("IJ") decision

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The Honorable Thomas O. Rice, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Washington, sitting by designation.

MAY 20 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS granting Sio's application for withholding of removal.¹ We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We grant Sio's petition and remand to the BIA.

We review questions of law, including whether the BIA has applied the correct standard of review, de novo. Rodriguez v. Holder, 683 F.3d 1164, 1169 (9th Cir. 2012). The BIA reviews the IJ's determinations of law de novo. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(3)(ii); see Perez-Palafox v. Holder, 744 F.3d 1138, 1145 (9th Cir. 2014). Conversely, the BIA is prohibited from "engag[ing] in de novo review of findings of fact determined by an immigration judge;" rather, it reviews such findings for clear error. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(3)(i); see Vitug v. Holder, 723 F.3d 1056, 1063 (9th Cir. 2013). Whether an alien has suffered harm "on account of" his protected status is a question of fact to be reviewed by the BIA for clear error. See, e.g., Regalado-Escobar v. Holder, 717 F.3d 724, 726-27 (9th Cir. 2013) ("We review questions of law *de novo* and the BIA's factual findings, including whether an applicant was persecuted on account of his political opinion, under the substantial evidence standard.").

¹ The BIA also affirmed the IJ's denial of Sio's applications for asylum and protection under the Convention Against Torture; however, Sio has not petitioned for review of these determinations.

As Sio contends, and the government concedes in its responding brief,² the BIA did not faithfully employ the clearly erroneous standard of review in deciding Sio was not harmed "on account of" his race or ethnicity. "The BIA may find an IJ's factual finding to be clearly erroneous if it is 'illogical or implausible,' or without 'support in inferences that may be drawn from the facts in the record."" Rodriguez, 683 F.3d at 1170 (quoting Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C., 470 U.S. 564, 577 (1985)); Vitug, 723 F.3d at 1063 ("[T]he BIA cannot, under a clear error standard of review, override or disregard evidence in the record and substitute its own version of reality or rely simply on its own interpretation of the facts." (alteration omitted) (citation omitted) (internal quotation marks omitted)). Because the BIA weighed the evidence, ignored reasonable inferences that could be drawn from the record in favor of Sio, and relied on its own interpretation of Sio's testimony, the BIA impermissibly engaged in de novo review or otherwise failed to faithfully apply the clearly erroneous standard of review.³ This error of law

² At oral argument, the Government attempted to distance itself from its concession of error, but did not move to strike or amend its briefing.

³ We do not rely on the BIA's invocation of the clear error standard; rather, we review the BIA's decision to determine whether it faithfully employed this standard. *Rodriguez*, 683 F.3d at 1170.

requires that we **GRANT** Sio's petition and **REMAND** to the Board so it may apply the correct standard of review.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - ► A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- ► The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) **Deadlines for Filing:**

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- See Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case: 11-73889, 05/20/2015, ID: 9543544, DktEntry: 54-2, Page 3 of 5

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

•

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in writing within 10 days** to:
 - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
 - and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BILL OF COSTS

This form is available as a fillable version at:

http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf.

Note: If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs.

	V.		9th Cir. No.	
The Clerk is requested to tax the follo	owing	; costs against:		

Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	(Eac	REQUESTED Each Column Must Be Completed)			ALLOWED (To Be Completed by the Clerk)			
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$
			TOTAL:	\$			TOTAL:	\$

* Costs per page: May not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

** *Other*: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

Case: 11-73889, 05/20/2015, ID: 9543544, DktEntry: 54-2, Page 5 of 5 Form 10. Bill of Costs - *Continued*

I,	, swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed
were actually and necessarily performed,	and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.

Signature
("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted electronically)
Date
Name of Counsel:
Attorney for:

(To Be Completed by the Clerk)

Date	Costs are taxed in the amount of \$	
	Clerk of Court	
	By:	, Deputy Clerk